

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/087,981 | 03/05/2002 | Luc Nougier | 612.41239X00 | 6977 | |
| ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | DUONG, THANH P | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1764 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 02/13/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------|--------------|----------------|
| | 10/087,981 | NOUGIER ET AL. |
| Examiner | | Art Unit |
| | Tom P. Duong | 1764 |
| | Tom P. Duong | 1764 |

| | Tom P. Duong | 1764 | |
|---|---|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear | ars on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 31 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (| idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply original three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropring in ally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better. | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); | TE below); | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuous NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324) |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mphane / mondinone | (1 102 02-1). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, | | |
| 7. Sor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☑ will ided below or appended. | I be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) rejected: as stated in Final Office Action. | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | • | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | before or on the date of filing a No sufficient reasons why the affiday | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is | t be entered necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | ls to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ied. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (| PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| 13. Other: | | | |
| | • | | |
| | · | | |
| , | • | | |

The request for reconsideration filed on 1/31/07 has been carefully considered but the argument is not persuasive. The final office action dated on 8/31/07 is maintained. (1) The argument with respect the "enclosure" has been addressed in the final office action (See Response to Arguments). (2) The argument with respect to the prior art fail to disclose the "outer shell whose cross-section is substantially circular and..." is directed to the construction shape of the outer shell or housing. It is well-known in the art to design the reactor vessel with outer shell or housing in rectangular, cynlindrical (circular) and/or conical shape to house the interior reaction chamber. Furthermore, a change in size and/or shape is within the level of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Rose, In re Rinehart, and In re Dailey.

Supervisory Patera Examinate Technology Contart 700